

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,783	09/23/2003	Miroslav Smriga	241244US0CONT	9560	
OBLON SPIX	7590 08/05/201 /AK MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			ROBERTS, LEZAH		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1612		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/05/2010	EL ECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/667,783	SMRIGA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	LEZAH W. ROBERTS	1612		

	LEZAH W. ROBERTS	1612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,135(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exh under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c)   ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ected claims.	
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,5-10,14,16-23 and 30-32.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 15 and 24-26.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.  The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	red but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for	allowance
See Continuation Sheet.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

/Frederick Krass/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612

/Lezah W Roberts/ Examiner, Art Unit 1612

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3, NOTE: Applicant has proposed to amend claim 1 to recite the limitation "irritable bowel syndrome" and deleted other diseases once encompassed by instant claim 1. This limitation was not previously considered in regard to the remotos of claim 1 because it was a non-elected species, and further analysis would be required to determine whether irritable bowel syndrome would have been obvious. Additionally a further search would also have to be made to determine the state of the art with regard to this issue.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In regard to Applicant's arguments, the instant specification discloses abnormal gastric motion as abnormal motions of gastric organs or abnormal gastric digestive motion, which may encompass any motion involving the stomach. This would encompass various conditions including dyspepsia. Further, Kobayashi et all discloses using the disclosed compositions to treat conditions dealing with the digestive system. Thus the rationale to try to use the composition to treat the dyspepsia due to stress is because the compositions are disclosed by the art to treat dyspepsia and therefore it is reasonable to conclude that the compositions would treat dyspepsia caused by different factors. In regard to Kobayashi et al. not disclosing a specific lysine/glutamic acid complex, the reference specifically discloses using lysine glutamate, which is a lysine/glutamic acid complex. This is further supported by the instant specifications disclosure of using the singular disclos